



CONTENTS

	PAGE
Message by Justice Bela M. Trivedi	I-4
Message by Justice Rajesh Bindal	I-5
Foreword by Justice Sandeep Mehta	I-7
Foreword by Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava	I-9
Message by Justice Jainendra Kumar Ranka	I-11
This Publication is Dedicated to Fond Memory of Dr. N.M. Ranka	I-13
From the Desk of the Editorial	I-15
Message from President 2024	I-20
Message from President 2023	I-21
Chairperson's Message	I-23
About the All India Federation of Tax Practitioners (AIFTP)	I-25
Publication Committee (2023)	I-29
About the Authors	I-30
Abbreviations	I-35
Chapter-Heads	I-39

CHAPTER 1

INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961 - EVIDENCE - CONCEPTS AND BASICS

Q.1	State how the term "Evidence" has been defined in the enactment "The BSA 2023".	2
Q.2	What are the basic features of enactment "The BSA 2023"?	3

	PAGE
Q.3 Briefly state the Interpretation by Courts, of the term “Evidence”, as per Evidence Act, 1872?	4
Q.4 Explain in brief, the meaning of the kind of evidences referred to in the above question?	6
Q.5 Explain in brief how the terms, dealt below are of relevance with the term “Evidence” in legal proceedings?	8
Q.6 Briefly indicate the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961, which refer to the term “Evidence”?	10
Q.7 What are the other provisions, of the Income-tax Act, 1961 that have indirect reference to the Indian Evidence Act, 1872, and presently “The Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam 2023”?	12
Q.8 What are the other provisions of new enactment “The BSA 2023” that have relevance to the provisions of the Income-tax Act, 1961?	14
Q.9 In the context of the term ‘Evidence’, in any proceeding under the Income-tax Act, 1961, what are the other enactments, applicable?	18
Q.10 What is the evidentiary value of confessional statement, in the context of income tax proceedings	20
Q.11 Discuss the proposition “that the satisfaction of the Assessing Officer as to the credibility of the assessee, must not be illusory or imaginary, but must have been derived by relevant facts and evidence and on the basis of proper enquiry and material before him”	22
Q.12 Discuss the proposition that cash credits in the accounts of an assessee cannot be justified merely on the rule of “Probability”	23
Q.13 Discuss the proposition that “The jurisdiction of an Assessing Officer does not depend upon whether a person had filed his returns of not”	24

	PAGE
Q.14 In the context of discharge of burden of proof, as to the claim of expenditure, whether the furnishing of Permanent Account No., and proof of deduction of tax at source, is sufficient evidence regarding the identity of the parties concerned?	25
Q.15 Whether the declaration under section 132(4) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, after the closure of Search Action, has the evidentiary value?	26
Q.16 Assessee taking part in assessment proceedings by exercising waiver of notice, whether confer jurisdiction on the Assessing Officer to complete the assessment?	27
Q.17 Discuss the proposition that “it was for the person making the statement or the person using he statement for drawing inference against the assessee to prove the contents of the statement to be correct and truthfulness thereof and not the other way round”	28
Q.18 Discuss the proposition that “commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) is duty bond to decide an appeal on merits, even when are assessee files application seeking withdrawal of appeal”?	29

CHAPTER 2

INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961 - RULES OF EVIDENCE

Q.19 State the kinds of assessments under Income-tax Act, 1961?	32
Q.20 State in brief, what is meant by Summary Assessment?	32
Q.21 State in brief what is meant by scrutiny assessment?	33
Q.22 State in brief what is meant by Best Judgment Assessment?	35
Q.23 State what is meant by Faceless Assessment?	36
Q.24 State briefly what is meant by reassessment?	37

	PAGE
Q.25 State the provisions that confer power on the Income-tax Authorities specifically to collect evidence both oral and documentary in the context of assessment proceedings under Income-tax Act, 1961?	38
Q.26 In what circumstances an Assessing Officer can either accept or reject the claim of an assessee, that has been made as per the return of income submitted?	39
Q.27 Does the provisions of Income-tax Act, 1961, specify the manner, and mode of service and communication in the form of notice to the assessee?	40
Q.28 Whether CPC Bangalore while processing the return is justified in making adjustments on the debatable and controversial issues?	41
Q.29 Whether the statement recorded from a third party, behind the back of assessee is of evidentiary value for addition in the assessment of income by Assessing Officer?	42
Q.30 Whether the service of notice or summons under Income-tax Act, 1961 is the judicial requirement?	42
Q.31 What is the evidentiary value of a statement recorded from a person, by an authority not competent to record such statement?	43
Q.32 In the context of assessment proceedings, can the Assessing Officer ignore judicial notice of facts pertaining to the case, before him?	43
Q.33 Discuss the proposition that satisfaction in the opinion of Assessing Officer, certainly involves an element of discretion to be adopted in the facts and circumstances of the case	44
Q.34 Whether the Assessing Officer is justified to change the decision adopted by him in one assessment year, in the subsequent years if the facts of the case are not identical?	44

	PAGE
Q.35 In the context of assessment proceedings under Income-tax Act, 1961, whether the principle of estoppel has Application to Successive Assessments?	46
Q.36 Explain the proposition that in the context of assessment proceedings under Income-tax Act, 1961, there is a concept known as principle of Natural Justice, to be followed by the authority concerned with the proceedings	46
Q.37 Explain the proposition that an admission may be good piece of evidence but not conclusive, in the context of assessment proceedings under Income-tax Act, 1961	47
Q.38 Explain the proposition, that in the assessment proceedings pursuant to search action, the evidentiary value of notings and jottings in the seized documents cannot be taken without corroboration?	47
Q.39 Explain the proposition that the power to reject the accounts is inherent in the power to call for evidence in support of the return of income submitted and for the purposes of investigation, in respect of the said return of income	48
Q.40 Assessing Officer in the course of assessment proceedings, based on information that came to his possession exercising the powers under sub-section (6) of section 133 of the Act, issued notices to parties who sold goods to an assessee seeking relevant information and documents to examine the genuineness of the purchases. The notices returned unserved. Assessing Officer directed the assessee to produce parties. Assessee did not produce the parties. What is the recourse available to the Assessing Officer?	50
Q.41 In the assessment proceedings submission of documentary evidence is more reliable than oral evidence - Discuss?	51

	PAGE
Q.42 Evidence submitted in the assessment proceedings must be Credible – Discuss	52
Q.43 Whether the indirect evidence produced in the assessment proceedings, can be considered for being accepted by the Assessing Officer?	53
Q.44 Is it justifiable to act on a sham document or is it proper to ignore it, in the context of assessment proceedings?	53
Q.45 Explain about the right of cross-examination in the assessment proceedings?	54
Q.46 Explain the evidentiary value of an affidavit submitted by an assessee, in the course of assessment proceedings in support of the facts of the case	54
Q.47 Income-tax Act, 1961 does not contain any specific provision for the valuation of stock, and further income, profits and gains must however be computed in the manner provided by the Act - Discuss	56
Q.48 The right of an assessee to be heard on merits, and submission of evidence in the assessment proceedings cannot be denied on highly technical and paediatric approach by the Assessing Officer - Discuss	57
Q.49 Discuss the proposition that the evidence brought on record without the knowledge of the assessee and used against him without giving him an opportunity to rebut it offends the principle of natural justice	58
Q.50 If there is an ambiguity in the interpretation or taxing statutes, is the Assessing Officer justified in placing new obligation on the taxpayer or the assessee?	59
Q.51 In the assessment proceedings, no proper opportunity of being heard is given to the assessee by the Assessing Officer, and the assessment has been completed. Whether it amounts to violation of principles of natural justice?	60

	PAGE
Q.52 Explain the proposition “Ratio of judgments relating to one tax enactment not to be treated as precedent in case relating to another tax enactment”?	60
Q.53 In the event of discrepancy in the figures of (a) Income and Tax Deducted at Source as per assessee’s books, and (b) receipts obtaining in Form 26AS generated by department how the computation of income should be done?	61
Q.54 Discuss the validity of a notice issued by the Assessing Officer, who has no jurisdiction to issue notice	61
Q.55 Discuss the validity of a notice issued without signature of an Assessing Officer either manually or digitally?	61
Q.56 Discuss the proposition, that a fiscal statute should be strictly construed is well settled?	62
Q.57 On the basis of information in the possession of the Assessing Officer, that the assessee maintained duplicate cash book, ledger and other books showing unaccounted manufacturing and trading, Assessing Officer directed for the reconciliation of consumption with the particulars furnished in Form 3CD pursuant to audit u/s 44AB of Income-tax Act, 1961. <i>Explanation</i> has been submitted in terms of Affidavit by the Chartered Accountant and the typist that the figures submitted in tax Audit Report were on account of typing mistake. On such facts will the Assessing Officer be justified in making any additions, invoking the provision of section 69C of the Income-tax Act, 1961?	63
Q.58 Explain the proposition that the statement recorded from witnesses in the assessment proceedings, on oath is true and reliable?	64

	PAGE
Q.59 In a case, when there was neither confirmation, nor response to summons by the Assessing Officer to the loan creditors, mere furnishing the addresses, whether amount to discharge of primary onus by the assessee without establishing the identify of such creditors?	65
Q.60 Explain the proposition that the evidence stemming from the statement of a hostile witness is admissible if corroborated by other reliable evidence	66
Q.61 Can the statement of a witness be discarded on the premise that witness is an interested witness and the evidence is not reliable	67
Q.62 Whether an assessee has the right to be represented by Counsel at the stage of investigation and collection of evidence?	67
Q.63 Examine the proposition that an assessee is entitled to the materials, and statement that shall be used by the Assessing Officer, in the course of assessment proceedings?	68
Q.64 Explain the proposition that an assessee has the statutory right to inspect the assessment records and to request for providing certified copies of documents and statements, in support of his case	69
Q.65 If an assessee participates in the assessment proceedings, the notice issued is deemed as valid even if there were defects in the notice – Discuss	70
Q.66 Whether a revised return can be filed to withdraw the exemption claim and further, carry forward or set off of loss can be claimed after due date for filing the return of income?	71

	PAGE
Q.67 Invocation of section 145(3) <i>ipso facto</i> does not confer blind power to the Assessing Officer, to make additions on estimate basis – Discuss?	72
Q.68 Assessing Officer has to take into consideration all relevant and admissible evidence in the assessment proceedings – Discuss?	73
Q.69 Evidence in the nature of circumstantial evidence, whether admissible in the Assessment proceedings	73
Q.70 The books of account and entries therein are relevant and afford <i>prima facie</i> proof of the correctness and therefore of evidentiary value in the determination of income in the assessment proceedings – Discuss?	74
Q.71 Inference has to be drawn from all available materials in judicious manner – Discuss?	76
Q.72 If the explanation by the assessee in support of his case is credible, it should be accepted – Discuss?	76
Q.73 What is the relevant date for considering the guideline value, when the date of agreement of sale and the date of sale deed are different? Whether the provisions of section 50C of Income-tax Act, 1961, can be invoked for computing capital gain in a transaction?	76
Q.74 Treating stamp duty value of property as deemed consideration under section 50C of Income-tax Act, 1961, against actual sale consideration whether justified?	77
Q.75 Whether agricultural income deposited into bank account regularly can be disbelieved for non-availability of sale bills where sufficient corroborative evidence was available and submitted?	78

	PAGE
Q.76 What are the principles to be considered for the purposes of allowing deduction, while computing income?	79
Q.77 In the absence of submission of proper documentary evidence whether disallowance of expenditure is justified?	80
Q.78 What are the tests laid down in terms of judicial propositions by Courts, in coming to a conclusion whether expenditure is in the capital field or revenue field?	80
Q.79 Non-maintenance of stock register whether justify rejection of books of account invoking section 145(3) of Income-tax Act, 1961?	81
Q.80 Whether variation in the net profit ratio, compared with the earlier assessment year, will justify the rejection of audited books of account?	82
Q.81 The assessee made provision for warranty claims estimated on the basis of past experience. The Assessing Officer disallowed the provision on the ground that it was unascertained liability and contingent in nature and does not satisfy the conditions laid down in section 37(1) of the Act. Is the Assessing Officer justified in his action.	83
Q.82 Whether the treatment of expenditure in the books of account conclusive for the purposes of assessment?	83
Q.83 The notice of assessment has been issued by an officer not having jurisdiction. The assessment subsequently has been completed by the Assessing Officer having the jurisdiction. Whether the assessment is valid in the eye of law?	84
Q.84 How the claim of write off of “inventory” in the accounts, can be justified for the purposes of assessment?	84

	PAGE
Q.85 The exercise of jurisdiction to examine under limited scrutiny the disputed expenses in an assessment on the ground of 'high ratio of refund to tax deducted at source', whether justified	85
Q.86 Discuss the proposition that the satisfaction of an administrative authority should be manifest on the face of the order passed by the authority	86
Q.87 Discuss the proposition, if an exemption or deduction is subject to conditions, the conditions must be strictly complied with	87
Q.88 Discuss the scope of assessment on remand.	88
Q.89 What is meant by Protective Income-tax Assessment, explain its scope under the Income-tax Act, 1961	89
Q.90 Discuss the proposition that where assessment proceedings are pending before Court, no limitation of time on revenue to make assessment in consequence of any finding or direction of the court	90

CHAPTER 3

**INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961 - LAW OF EVIDENCE -
REASSESSMENT PROCEEDINGS**

Q.91 As per the amended provisions brought into effect with effect from 1st April 2021, and the earlier provisions, in respect of reassessment as to the observance of principles of natural justice, there exists a common thread – Discuss	92
Q.92 The validity of reassessment proceedings have to be tested on the touchstone of the reasons recorded by the Assessing Officer, which reasons can neither be added nor substituted by pleadings – Discuss	93

	PAGE
Q.93 The term “information” in <i>Explanation 1</i> to section 148 of Income-tax Act, 1961, cannot be lightly resorted to and cannot provide unbridled lower to the department to reopen an assessment – Discuss	94
Q.94 Explain the meaning of the phrase “Income chargeable to tax” which has escaped assessment as provided in sub-clause (b) of sub-section (1) of section 149 of the Income-tax Act, 1961	95
Q.95 Whether it is justifiable on the part of the assessee in refusing to participate in reassessment proceedings, that stand vitiated by lack of valid service of notice?	96
Q.96 On mere assumption, can the assessment be reopened for making roving enquiries?	97
Q.97 Assessing Officer’s Act of generating notice in Income-tax business application Portal would not amount to issue of notice of reassessment in a Faceless assessment – Discuss?	97
Q.98 In respect of original assessment completed by intimation, is it necessary that there should be fresh material for issue of notice for reopening of an assessment?	98
Q.99 The reasons furnished in the notice of reassessment, whether can be challenged in Appeal proceedings, instead of seeking extreme remedy of by approaching High Court by writ petition?	99
Q.100 The Assessing Officer has to obtain digitally signed sanction as per section 151 of Income-tax Act, 1961, from a superior authority, that it is a fit case for issue of notice for reopening an assessment – Discuss?	100

	PAGE
Q.101 The show cause notice issued under section 148A(b) and subsequent notice u/s 148 along with order u/s 148A(d) were vague and did not contain any details. Discuss the validity of such notice u/s 148 of the Act?	101
Q.102 The foundational allegation absent in a show cause notice, cannot be incorporated by issuing supplementary notice, in the case of reopening of an assessment – Discuss?	102
Q.103 In a case, where there is non-observance of application of mind, gross negligence, and principles of natural justice, while passing an order u/s 148A(d) and section 148, the reassessment was held to be not valid – Discuss?	103
Q.104 Discuss the validity of an order passed under section 148A(d), without conducting an enquiry and without considering the reply of the assessee under section 148A(c) of the Income-tax Act?	104
Q.105 As per the new provisions that came into effect from 01-04-2021, is it necessary for the Assessing Officer to record reasons, before assuming jurisdiction to issue notice of reassessment?	105
Q.106 What is the scope of “audit objection” as per the amended provisions of reassessment?	105
Q.107 Will the violation of mandatory time period stipulated as per section 148A(b) can be considered as the cause of prejudice to the assessee?	106
Q.108 Rule of natural justice shall be applicable only when prejudice has been caused – Discuss	107
Q.109 The circular of Central Board of Direct Taxes, may not override or detract from the provisions of the Act, but it can seek to mitigate	108

	PAGE
	the rigour of a particular provision for the benefit of the assessee in certain specified circumstances – Discuss
Q.110	109
	Under the amended provisions, the term “information”, obtaining in <i>Explanation 1</i> to section 148 of Income-tax Act, 1961 cannot be lightly resorted to so as to reopen an assessment – Discuss?
Q.111	110
	In the context of calculation of the limitation, pertaining to reassessment proceedings, what is the difference between ‘issue’ and ‘service’ of notice, as obtaining in sections 149 and 153 of Income-tax Act, 1961?
Q.112	111
	The amended provisions relating to the reassessment proceedings, whether extended the power to the Assessing Officer to assess any other income, other than specified in the order u/s 148A(d) of the Act?
Q.113	112
	Discuss the proposition that in terms of section 148A brought on statute with effect from 01-04-2021, the copy of information available with the Assessing Officer suggesting escapement of income based on which the notice u/s 148A of the Act has been issued in terms of <i>Explanation 1</i> to section 148 of the Act, should be furnished
Q.114	113
	Discuss the proposition that as per the amended provisions with effect from 01-04-2021 in the scheme of reassessment whether all information in the possession of the officer, can be construed as information that qualifies for initiation of proceedings?
Q.115	115
	In the context of reassessment proceedings, if the foundational allegations were missing in the show cause notice issued under sub-clause (b) of section 148A, whether such allegations can be incorporated by issuing the supplementary notice?

	PAGE
Q.116 Discuss the proposition that there is no statutory provision under which the power to be exercised by the specified officer can be exercised by the superior officer	116
Q.117 Whether the corporate insolvency resolution plan sanctioned and approved by the National Company Law Tribunal will be of any impact, for reopening an assessment and whether also impinge the rights of the Income-tax department to proceed further?	116
Q.118 Whether there can be reassessment, pursuant to a Court order?	117
Q.119 Whether the Assessing Officer shall be entitled to reopen matters concluded by an order under section 154, 155, 260, 262 or 263 of Income-tax Act, 1961?	118
Q.120 In terms of the amended provision with effect from 01-04-2021, whether the Assessing Officer has unbridled power to reopen an assessment?	118
Q.121 During the subsistence of reassessment proceedings whether another assessment notice can be issued for same assessment year?	119
Q.122 Is it mandatory upon the Assessing Officer to issue notice u/s 143(2) in the case of reassessment? If notice is not issued u/s 143(2), can such defect be cured in terms of the provisions of section 292BB of the Act?	120
Q.123 Information received from Director (Investigation and criminal intelligence), whether satisfy the requirements of 'Information' within the frame work of the term 'Risk Management Strategy' to initiate proceedings for reassessment, as per the amended provisions?	120
Q.124 Discuss the proposition judicial discipline and propriety are the two significant facts of administration of justice	122

	PAGE
Q.125 Whether participating in reassessment proceedings amount to denial of an assessee's right to contend the notice as not valid in law?	123
Q.126 In the context of the amended provisions relating to reassessment, discuss the proposition, 'if the enquiry contemplated in section 148A is interpreted to mean a detailed enquiry where both sides can seek and adduce evidence or material (documentary or ocular), then the entire object behind section 148A would stand defeated'	124
Q.127 In the case of reassessment, when the facts and circumstances are similar in respect of two assessment years, whether the same decision making authority can render two different decisions inconsistent with each other for two different assessment years?	126
Q.128 When an issue has been considered in scrutiny assessment, whether issuing a notice of reassessment based on the same issues justified?	128
Q.129 What is the exception to the Rule of limitation provided under section 150 of the Income-tax Act, 1961?	129

CHAPTER 4

**INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961 - LAW OF EVIDENCE -
SEARCH, SEIZURE AND SURVEY PROCEEDINGS**

Q.130 Discuss the proposition that an admission in the statement recorded under section 132(4) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, is not conclusive evidence though it is extremely important piece of evidence?	133
---	-----

	PAGE
Q.131 In the case of search assessment proceedings, there was a reference to Special Audit, pursuant to section 142(2A) of the Income-tax Act. After submission of the Audit report by the special Auditor, is there any restraint on the powers of the Assessing Officer to make further enquiry and gather further evidence and come to different conclusions – Discuss?	135
Q.132 Discuss the proposition that it is the trite law that as per sub-section (1) of section 132, the jurisdictional facts should be established by the department to justify search authorization?	136
Q.133 What constitutes ‘information’, within the meaning of sub-section (1) of section 132 of the Income-tax Act, 1961 which enables the basis to issue warrant of authorization for search Action?	136
Q.134 Whether common warrant of authorization, can be issued, against number of persons?	137
Q.135 Discuss the proposition that the belief for issue of authorization for search must be honest belief based on cogent materials?	138
Q.136 Discuss the proposition that presumption under section 132(4A) of the Income-tax Act, 1961, is not available for the purposes of framing the regular assessment?	139
Q.137 In what circumstances, it can be contended that the Search Action was not legal. Even if the search is held by the Court to be not legal, how the revenue can have the benefit of the use of the material unearthed	140
Q.138 On the basis of loose document, found with the seller of the property during Search Action of the premises of the purchaser of the property whether the Assessing Officer is justified to presume undisclosed investment made by the purchaser?	141

	PAGE
Q.139 Discuss the proposition that an assessment, consisting of addition is not made on the basis of statement recorded, but can be made only on the basis of evidence available and substantiated	142
Q.140 Pursuant to search, on the basis of difference in the volume of stock-in-trade as per books of account and as per valuation report of the registered valuer, on the date of search, can an addition be made in the assessment?	142
Q.141 What is the evidentiary value of data recovered from the computer, during the course of Search Action?	143
Q.142 Whether the addition based on rough entries found in the seized material is justified?	144
Q.143 In the Search Action, where no incriminating material is unearthed, whether the Assessing Officer is justified to assess or reassess, the completed assessments, considering the other seized material which is not incriminating?	144
Q.144 Whether the legally tenable deductions, allowances and expenses, which were not claimed in the original return submitted can be claimed in the return of income submitted in response to notice u/s 148, pursuant to Search Action, and whether such claims can be denied by the Assessing Officer?	145
Q.145 Whether Completed or unabated assessments can be reopened under section 147 or 148 of the Act?	146
Q.146 In the context of interpretation of taxing statutes explain the following, with reference to a settled judicial propositions: (1) Amended provisions to be considered in the light of history of legislation and what law makers intended by amendment. (2) The definition of the term “unless the context otherwise requires”.	148

	PAGE
Q.147 Whether loose sheets and diaries found during Search Action would constitute “Books of Account” and thereby constitute material evidence for assessment purposes?	149
Q.148 For initiating Search Action, when the warrant of authorisation is issued, whether considering the adequacy of reasons recorded, was within the scope of judicial review by the Courts?	150
Q.149 In the context of assessment in search cases, explain the following:—	151
(i) Evidence found as result of search.	
(ii) Admission to be construed as a whole.	
(iii) Presumption as to documents.	
Q.150 Whether an assessee can challenge the validity of search proceedings, before the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, when an appeal is preferred in respect of search assessment?	153
Q.151 Statement was recorded Post Search Action, invoking the provisions of section 131(1A) of the Act. Based on such statement, addition has been made. What is the evidentiary value of the statement for being relied upon to make the addition?	153
Q.152 A statement has been made in the course of survey action u/s 133A of Income-tax Act, 1961, under a mistaken belief of facts and law, surrendering income. The statement has been retracted within a reasonable period. Whether assessment can be completed with the addition of the surrendered amount of income, based on such statement ignoring retraction?	154
Q.153 Whether the statement elicited during survey action u/s 133A of Income-tax Act, 1961, has any evidentiary value?	154

	PAGE
Q.154 There was search action. One of the partner has sent a letter, disclosing income subject to a condition. A revised return of income has been filed. On the premise that the revised return was not in conformity with the letter submitted. Assessing Officer rejected the return. Assessing Officer considering the letter as a statement made under section 132(4) of Income-tax Act, rejected the revised return. Is the action of the Assessing Officer justified?	155
Q.155 In respect of cases covered under section 133A of the Act centralised as per the provisions of section 127 of the Income-tax Act, 1961, whether the assessment by National e-Assessment Centre is justified?	156

CHAPTER 5

**INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961 - LAW OF EVIDENCE -
APPELLATE PROCEEDINGS**

Q.156 Whether the power to call for additional evidence is available to the First Appellate Authority?	160
Q.157 As per Rule 29 of Income-tax Appellate Tribunal Rules, 1963, whether it is mandatory on the part of the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, to pass order separately admitting or rejecting additional evidence	161
Q.158 Discuss the proposition that an Appellate authority can receive fresh evidence or additional evidence from either side, for a fair decision on all relevant materials, but the normal expectation is that all the evidence should be available even at the first stage	162
Q.159 Admission of additional evidence that has a direct bearing on the claim of appellant and not adverted to by the Assessing Officer	163

	PAGE
	in remand report called for by the Commissioner whether justified on the part of the Commissioner Appeals?
Q.160	164
	Discuss the proposition that Rule 46A of Income-tax Rules, 1962 is applicable, when there is additional evidence, and not applicable to an affidavit submitted reiterating the admission made before the Assessing Officer
Q.161	165
	In respect of an issue relating to the valuation of construction, Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) obtaining revised report from District Valuation Officer based on the contentions of the Appellant and accepting the same, whether amounts to defiance of Rule 46A of Income-tax Rules, 1962, in the context of the exercise of power to admit additional evidence?
Q.162	166
	Discuss the proposition that “it is not necessary that when additional evidence is furnished before the Commissioner (Appeals) the matter must be remanded to the Assessing Officer”
Q.163	167
	Discuss the proposition power to admit additional evidence, subject to the procedure under Rule 46A of the Income-tax Rules, 1962, is one which is broad enough to require admission of all relevant evidence
Q.164	168
	Discuss the proposition that there is no absolute bar to admit new plea of additional evidence, if it is relevant and called for by the Appellate Authority as per the procedure under Rule 46A, before first Appellate Authority and under Rule 29 of Income-tax (Appellate Tribunal) Rules, 1963, before the Tribunal

	PAGE
Q.165 Discuss the proposition that Appellate jurisdiction is limited to scope of the order appealed?	169
Q.166 Whether an assessee is entitled to challenge the jurisdiction of Additional CIT to Act as an Assessing Officer, by raising ground of appeal before the Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals)	170
Q.167 Whether the first Appellate Authority has the power of enhancement on account of new source of Income?	170
Q.168 Under Faceless Assessment Scheme, whether, additional evidence can be filed by the assessee and equally can be admitted by the Appeal Unit?	171
Q.169 The First Appellate Authority dismissed an appeal which is barred by limitation in limine. What is the remedy? Is it an appealable order?	172
Q.170 Whether an appeal can be filed before the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal on an issue not objected to before the first appellate Authority?	172
Q.171 Submission of additional evidence by an assessee, before the Commissioner of Income-tax (Appeals) how far justifiable? Discuss	173
Q.172 In deciding an appeal, by the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal, whether the Tribunal is bound by the view of jurisdictional High Court, and how far the Tribunal is bound by the precedents in law, for deciding the appeal?	174
Q.173 Whether the Assessing Officer is entitled to commence fresh innings, without following the directions of the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal?	175

	PAGE
Q.174 Discuss the difference between the annulment of an assessment and setting aside of an assessment	176
Q.175 The question of limitation is a legal plea and can be taken up for the first time at any stage – Discuss?	177
Q.176 At the stage of notice under section 148, and when the Assessing Officer has yet to frame the assessment/reassessment, whether writ court can go into the merits of the controversy?	178
Q.177 Discuss the proposition that an assessee cannot be permitted to invoke the jurisdiction of High Court by preferring writ jurisdiction when there are provisions in the act enabling him to have appropriate remedy by way of Appellate forums?	180
Q.178 Whether the first appellate Authority has the power to admit additional ground?	181
Q.179 Whether an assessee has the right to withdraw the appeal preferred before first Appellate Authority?	181
Q.180 What is meant by ‘memorandum of cross objection’ that can be filed before the Tribunal? Explain its scope?	182
Q.181 Whether an appeal can be preferred against an order u/s 127 of the Income-tax Act, passed by the Principal Commissioner of Income-tax transferring the case from one jurisdiction to another jurisdiction before the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal? If appeal cannot be preferred what is the remedy to the assessee?	184
Q.182 Whether the first Appellate Authority has the power to refuse evidence?	184

	PAGE
Q.183 Discuss the proposition that the powers of the first Appellate Authority are co-terminus with that of the Assessing Officer?	185
Q.184 What happens when an assessee focusses on jurisdictional aspects, than on the merits of the case, in the appellate proceedings?	186
Q.185 Discuss the proposition that initiation of proceedings under section 147 of the Income-tax Act and service of notice are the questions relating to the assumption of jurisdiction, and such jurisdiction if questioned in the second round of appeal whether the appeal can be entertained?	187
Q.186 Whether the Income-tax Appellate Tribunal has the power to admit additional evidence?	188
Q.187 Whether the Tribunal has the power to admit new evidence?	191
Q.188 Whether the Tribunal is duty bound in having enquiry, on its own, in deciding an appeal or justified to limit itself to the arguments presented before it?	192
Q.189 Whether the Tribunal has the power to remand the case?	193
Q.190 Whether the power of Tribunal extends to the enhancement of income in an appeal filed?	194
Q.191 Whether the Tribunal has power to give directions while deciding the issues agitated in appeal?	195
Q.192 Income-tax appellate Tribunal passed on order recording the admission of the counsel on an issue which is not correct on facts. What is the remedy to the assessee against such order?	195
Q.193 In the context of an appeal before the Tribunal, when the Tribunal failed to consider one of the grounds raised by the assessee,	196

	PAGE
	whether it amounts to a mistake that requires to be corrected or failure to exercise the jurisdiction?
Q.194	197
	What category of procedural mistakes Tribunal must correct <i>EX DEBITO JUSTITIAE</i> to prevent abuse of process?
Q.195	198
	Whether the Tribunal has the power to recall its order?
Q.196	199
	Discuss the proposition that “The Income-tax Appellate Tribunal cannot consider the issues arising in the order of the Assessing Officer, provided the issues do not arise from the order of the PR. CIT/CIT, under section 263, which is subjected to an appeal before ITAT”

CHAPTER 6

**INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961 - LAW OF EVIDENCE -
INTERNATIONAL TRANSACTION, TRANSFER PRICING
AND GENERAL ANTI-AVOIDANCE RULES (GAAR)**

Q.197	202
	What evidentiary weight does a Tax Residency Certificate (“TRC”) carry when claiming tax treaty benefits?
Q.198	202
	Can non-residents provide alternative evidence instead of a TRC to claim treaty benefits?
Q.199	203
	What evidence can be presented to confirm that the control and management of an entity are situated in a foreign jurisdiction?
Q.200	203
	What supporting evidence can an individual furnish to ascertain its presence in a foreign jurisdiction?
Q.201	204
	Can a passport be used as evidence to determine the physical presence test of an individual in India?

	PAGE
Q.202 Does the burden of proof lie with the assessee or revenue when determining whether income from international transactions is exempt from taxation under a treaty or Act?	205
Q.203 Who bears the burden of proof when determining whether receipts from international transactions constitute income under a Treaty or Act?	206
Q.204 On whom does the burden of proof lie in case of tax evasion, particularly in international taxation matters?	207
Q.205 What evidence is required for a company to prove that it is not a shell or conduit entity?	207
Q.206 What is the evidential value of the tax assessment conducted by the foreign tax authorities of the contracting state?	208
Q.207 What is the evidential value of international tax experts in substantiating foreign or international law?	208
Q.208 What other forms of evidence can serve as alternatives to opinions from international tax experts in substantiating foreign or international law?	209
Q.209 What evidentiary weight do opinions provided by Indian tax experts carry in regard to International law?	209
Q.210 Is it acceptable to utilize third-party websites (online sources) as evidence for establishing the taxability of an international transaction?	210
Q.211 Is it permissible to utilize social media platforms such as LinkedIn, Facebook, etc., as evidence for ascertaining the tax implications of the international transaction?	210
Q.212 What is the significance of orders issued by competent authorities under the Mutual Agreement Procedure (MAP)?	211

	PAGE
Q.213 What is the evidential value of documents, certificates and letters issued by foreign authorities in international taxation matters?	211
Q.214 What is the evidential value of information or evidence obtained from other competent authorities <i>via</i> the exchange of information?	212
Q.215 What kinds of evidence should be presented when contesting the taxation of royalties and technical services?	213
Q.216 What evidence is required to substantiate income allocation for entities with a business connection or permanent establishment?	214
Q.217 Whether information/evidence obtained under a search conducted under the Income-tax Act can be used in the proceedings under Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) and Imposition of Tax Act, 2015 ('BMA')?	214
Q.218 Under the Black Money (Undisclosed Foreign Income and Assets) and Imposition of Tax Act, 2015 (BMA), does the burden of proof lie with the assessee or Revenue?	215
Q.219 While making transfer pricing adjustments, is it permissible for the revenue to utilize evidence obtained without the assessee's knowledge?	215
Q.220 Can transfer pricing documentation of the Associated Enterprises be used to demonstrate transfer pricing?	216
Q.221 Is it necessary for the assessee to maintain and provide evidence for each assessment year to substantiate the transaction at arm's length price?	216
Q.222 What is the value of e-mails, correspondences, narrations, etc., provided by the assessee to establish the rendering of services to the AE?	217

	PAGE
Q.223 To what extent can assessments or valuations conducted by Customs authorities be used as evidence to establish the arm's length price of imported goods from Associated Enterprises?	217
Q.224 Can a valuation report be used as evidence to justify an arm's length price with Associated Enterprises?	218
Q.225 Can published data on recognized commodity exchanges be used as evidence for comparable uncontrolled transactions in determining the arm's length price?	219
Q.226 How significant is the Chartered Accountant certificate in establishing reimbursement or cost allocation with an Associate Enterprise in the context of transfer pricing?	220
Q.227 Is a certificate issued by a foreign Chartered Accountant in establishing reimbursement or cost allocation with an Associate Enterprise in the context of transfer pricing?	220
Q.228 Can official publications, reports, studies and databases from foreign Governments be considered evidence for transfer pricing?	221
Q.229 Is it acceptable to utilize third-party websites (online sources) as evidence to make transfer pricing adjustments?	221
Q.230 What is the significance of using quotations obtained from third parties as evidence to determine the arm's length price?	222
Q.231 Can independent market studies or industry data be considered evidence to determine arm's length price with Associated Enterprises?	222
Q.232 Is it necessary to provide accounts and financial statements of associated enterprises as evidence during transfer pricing assessment?	223

	PAGE
Q.233 What evidential weight do orders issued under Advance Pricing Agreements (APA) carry in the context of transfer pricing?	223
Q.234 Evidence on General Anti-Avoidance Agreement (“GAAR”)	224
Q.235 Who is responsible for presenting the initial evidence when implementing GAAR provisions - The Assessee or Revenue Authorities?	224
Q.236 Is the utilization of circumstantial evidence by the authorities considered adequate to establish the application of GAAR?	225
Q.237 What crucial evidence must a taxpayer furnish when confronted with GAAR proceedings?	226
Q.238 Would presenting a TRC be sufficient evidence to claim treaty benefits and defend potential GAAR assessment?	226
Q.239 Will GAAR authorities consider evidence regarding other factors that motivated the transaction?	227
Q.240 What types of evidence must a company present to showcase its commercial or economic substance, leading to the inapplicability of GAAR provisions?	228

CHAPTER 7

RULE OF EVIDENCE IN INDIRECT TAX PROCEEDINGS (CENTRAL GOODS AND SERVICES TAX ACT, 2017)

Q.241 What evidence shall be provided for getting registered under the GST Act?	236
Q.242 Can the proper officer demand documents other than those prescribed for granting refund?	236
Q.243 Can any person be summoned to give evidence or produce document?	236
Q.244 What are the powers of Appellate Tribunal in this regard?	237

	PAGE
Q.245 While referring back the case to the lower authority, can the Appellate Tribunal direct to take additional evidence?	237
Q.246 What will happen if a person, who is in receipt of summons, fails to appear?	237
Q.247 Can the officer disclose the record of evidence to others?	238
Q.248 Can evidence be produced for the first time before the Appellate Authority or the Appellate Tribunal?	238
Q.249 Is there anything about 'burden of proof'?	238
Q.250 How to discharge the above burden of proof?	239
Q.251 What is a document?	239
Q.252 What are the essential documents, which can be shown as evidence?	239
Q.253 Can there be a direction to maintain additional documents?	240
Q.254 Can the documents and books of account be seized?	240
Q.255 Discuss presumption as to documents in certain cases	240
Q.256 What is the evidence required to be filed for preferring refund claim?	241
Q.257 Can ITC be availed on production of tax invoice?	241
Q.258 Can the invoice issued for the sale of IMFL under the VAT Act be considered as Bill of Supply under the GST Act?	241
Q.259 For getting correct and complete audit report, what evidence shall be produced?	242
Q.260 Is cross-examination allowable?	242
Q.261 Explain 'composite supply'	243
Q.262 Also explain 'mixed supply'	244

	PAGE
Q.263 Whether filing of affidavit is permissible during the course of proceedings before the authorities?	245
Q.264 Can a registered person retract the statement given to the proper officer at the time of audit/inspection?	247
Q.265 Can the proper officer refuse to allow cross examination?	248
Q.266 What is the weight of two contradictory statements given by the dealer?	250
Q.267 Can the appellate authority consider the evidence produced, without putting the same on notice to the other party?	251
Q.268 What happens if the proper officer doesn't produce any evidence or produces insufficient evidence in respect of classification issue?	252
Q.269 Whether the evidence in the form of an expert's opinion would be valid?	253
Q.270 How to face the proceedings, when the proposal is framed on the evidence of the third party?	255
Q.271 Can the taxable person refuse to show the books of account at the time of audit or inspection or scrutiny?	256
Q.272 How long shall I keep the evidence like invoices, debit and credit notes, books of account, etc.	256
Q.273 Can a statement given at the time of search or audit or inspection be a conclusive evidence?	256
Q.274 Are there any inputs relating to evidence in the 'audit manual' released by CBIC in the year 2019?	257
Q.275 How to file evidence when there are technical glitches on the common portal?	258

	PAGE
Q.276 Is any evidence required to be filed where tax is payable at a rate, lower than the normal rate?	259
Q.277 What is the relevancy of a statement made and signed?	259
Q.278 Can the document filed by a third party be relied upon by the officer?	260
Q.279 What can be termed as the best evidence?	260
Q.280 Can the material seized during the course of illegal search be used against the assessee?	260
Q.281 Which documents shall be carried while transporting goods in a vehicle?	261
Q.282 How to prove physical supply of goods and services?	261
Q.283 What is the evidence to show that the communication received from the authorities is genuine and authorised?	263
Q.284 Can strong suspicion be considered as evidence for framing assessment?	264
Q.285 Can the proper officer refuse to furnish copies of reports of Intelligence/Investigation/Enforcement wing to the assessee, during assessment proceedings?	264
Q.286 Can the proper officer refuse to give effect to the order of the Appellate Authority/Appellate Tribunal?	265
Q.287 How to deal with vague show cause notices, proposing huge demands, without any evidence?	266
Q.288 Allegation of reuse of e-way bill. Who has to establish with evidence?	267
Q.289 Is the inspecting officer empowered to seize cash from the business premises?	268
Q.290 Discuss the powers relating to search and seizure	268

	PAGE
Q.291 Is there any power of 'survey' under the GST Act?	269
Q.292 Explain about audit and the evidence required to be produced before audit officer?	270
Q.293 Explain the provisions relating to inspection of places of business	272
Q.294 Can the persons be arrested under the GST Act?	273
Q.295 And finally tell about assessments under the GST Act	275
Q.296 What are the new items that are brought into the definition of 'evidence' by BSA, 2023?	282
Q.297 What is an electronic statement?	282
Q.298 What is a digital record?	282
Q.299 Can the proper officer seize the digital records?	283
Q.300 What are the illustrations of 'document' added in the BSA, 2023?	283
Q.301 Can the person straight away file the electronic record and request to admit the same as evidence?	283
Q.302 Can the authority automatically accept the evidence of digital record in a proceedings?	283
Q.303 What are the consequences of secreting or destroying a document or electronic record?	284

CHAPTER 8

CUSTOMS ACT, 1962 - LAW OF EVIDENCE

Q.304 What is the scope and objective of Customs Act, 1962?	289
Q.305 Do the legislations like the erstwhile Indian Evidence Act, 1872 or the current Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 have any application to the Customs Act?	290

	PAGE
Q.306 Is there an interplay between Customs and Direct/Indirect Taxes relating to evidence?	290
Q.307 How is evidence appreciated in assessment proceedings as per the scheme of the Customs Act?	291
Q.308 Can additional evidence be filed before the first appellate authority? What are the rules governing the same?	292
Q.309 Can additional evidence be filed before the Customs, Excise and Service Tax Appellate Tribunal? What are the rules governing the same?	293
Q.310 How is evidence appreciated before revoking the license of a Customs Broker?	294
Q.311 What are the provisions under the Customs Act dealing with the power to summon persons?	295
Q.312 What is the nature of proceedings under section 108 of the Customs Act?	296
Q.313 Who has the authority to issue summons under the Customs Act?	297
Q.314 What is the nature of summons issued by the Custom Officials? What is the purpose of recording statements under section 108 of the Customs Act?	297
Q.315 Can an order be framed by the Custom Officer solely on the basis of statements recorded under section 108 of the Customs Act?	298
Q.316 Can a summon issued by the Custom Officials be challenged before a Writ Court?	299
Q.317 Do Custom Officers have the Power to Arrest?	299
Q.318 Are Custom Officers equivalent to Police Officers? Are statements recorded (under section 108 of the Customs Act) hit by section 25 of the erstwhile Evidence Act or section 23 of the current Sakshya Adhiniyam?	302

	PAGE
Q.319 Can a person whose statements are being recorded under section 108 of the Customs Act request for presence of his advocate whilst such statements are being recorded?	308
Q.320 What is the legal position regarding retraction of confessional statements under the Customs Act? What is the evidentiary value of a retracted confessional statement?	311
Q.321 Can a confessional statement of a co-accused or co-noticee be relied upon as evidence against another co-accused or co-noticee?	313
Q.322 Under what circumstances can a recorded statement be relied upon as relevant piece of evidence to prove the case of the prosecution?	313
Q.323 What are the conditions governing admissibility of electronic evidence under the Customs Act?	314

CHAPTER 9

**LAW OF EVIDENCE - BENAMI
TRANSACTIONS (PROHIBITION) ACT**

Q.324 What is the legislative history and purpose of the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Act ("the Act")?	320
Q.325 What is a benami transaction and what are the statutory exclusions?	323
Q.326 What is a Benami Property?	325
Q.327 Who is a Benamidar and a beneficial owner?	325
Q.328 Who is a beneficial owner?	326
Q.329 What are the consequences of entering into a benami transaction?	326
Q.330 On whom lies the burden of proof that the transaction is a Benami transaction?	326
Q.331 Whether property held or acquired from a benamidar for adequate consideration liable to be confiscated?	331

	PAGE
Q.332 Whether the provisions of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam 2023 (Evidence Act) applicable to the new benami law?	331
Q.333 Which are the statutory authorities created under the new benami law for it's effective implementation and what are their role?	332
Q.334 What are the powers conferred upon the above Authorities for collection of evidence?	332
Q.335 Whether it is mandatory for the person summoned u/s 19 by the Authorities to attend?	333
Q.336 Whether the proceedings u/s 19(1) and 19(2) of the Act judicial proceedings?	333
Q.337 Which are the other powers of the authorities prescribed under the Act?	334
Q.338 Whether AA is bound by the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (CPC)?	334
Q.339 Whether the order of AA is final?	335
Q.340 Whether the Appellate Tribunal is bound by CPC?	335
Q.341 What are the powers of Appellate Tribunal?	335
Q.342 Whether the proceedings before the Appellate Tribunal judicial proceedings?	337
Q.343 Who can be appointed as an authorized representative before the Appellate Tribunal?	337
Q.344 Whether the civil courts also have jurisdiction in matters concerning the Benami Act?	337
Q.345 Whether the order passed by the Appellate Tribunal appealable?	338
Q.346 Criminal prosecution will be filed in which court?	338
Q.347 Whether the provisions of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 is applicable to Special Courts?	338
Q.348 Whether there is any provision to issue show cause notice by the authorities before launching criminal prosecution?	339

	PAGE
Q.349 Whether there is a requirement on the part of the authorities to prove <i>mens rea</i> (culpable mental state/guilty mind)? Whether the burden of proof is on the authorities to prove the offence?	339
Q.350 Whether the offences under the Act are cognizable offences?	340
Q.351 Whether the directors of a company or partners of a firm liable for prosecution?	340
Q.352 Whether the Benami Transactions (Prohibition) Amendment Act, 2016 is prospective?	341
Q.353 Whether any limitation period is provided to take action under the Benami Law?	342
Q.354 What is the interplay between the Income-tax Act, 1961 and the Benami Law?	342

CHAPTER 10

**LAW OF EVIDENCE - THE PREVENTION OF
MONEY LAUNDERING ACT, 2002**

Q.355 What is the PMLA? What is the offence of money laundering under the PMLA?	346
Q.356 What are the broad proceedings that are contemplated by the PMLA?	348
Q.357 What is the interplay that the overriding effect as prescribed by section 71 of the PMLA has with the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, 2023 and the Indian Evidence Act, 1872?	348
Q.358 What powers does the Directorate of Enforcement have to collect Evidence under the PMLA?	349
Q.359 Can Evidence obtained illegally or by not following the lawful process prescribed under the PMLA be used by the Directorate of Enforcement against an accused under the PMLA?	354

	PAGE
Q.360 Are Statements recorded under section 50 of the PMLA admissible as Evidence?	360
Q.361 Will confessions made to the Directorate of Enforcement get the same protection as those made in the custody of a Police Officer?	361
Q.362 Is the Reversal of the Burden of proof provided for by section 24 of the PMLA Constitutionally valid? What is its interplay with the Indian Evidence Act?	363
Q.363 What is the difference between the two presumptions provided for by the section 24 of the PMLA?	369
Q.364 Are the provisions of the Indian Evidence Act applicable equally across the board in all proceedings under the PMLA?	371
Q.365 What is the Interplay between the PMLA, the Income-tax Act, the Goods and Services Tax Act (Central as well as State) and the Indian Evidence Act or the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam?	371
Q.366 What is the Interplay between the reporting obligations placed by the PMLA on professionals and the Indian Evidence Act or the Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam?	372

CHAPTER 11

**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY
ACT, 2000 - LAW OF EVIDENCE**

Q.367 What are the important sections under the Information Technology Act, 2000 having relevance from a tax law perspective?	379
Q.368 What sections/rules under Income-tax law give reference to Information Technology Act, 2000?	381
Q.369 What is a Computer resource?	382

	PAGE
Q.370 Whether account of the assessee on the Income-tax e-filing portal be considered as a Computer resource?	382
Q.371 What are the relevant provisions of the Income-tax Act, pertaining to Service of notices/orders and other communication?	384
Q.372 What should be considered as the date of issuance of the notice, order etc. issued in electronic form? Whether the date mentioned on the document or the date on which a digital signature is affixed to such document or the date when such notice was emailed/uploaded by the AOs on the computer resource? When is a document said to be dispatched?	385
Q.373 Whether an email and SMS mandatory post-electronic communication?	391
Q.374 What is DIN? Is DIN mandatory?	392
Q.375 What happens when the DIN is not mentioned on the documents?	393
Q.376 Whether service of notice/order and other communication can be done through WhatsApp/Telegram or any other such app	394
Q.377 Whether service of such notices/orders can also be done through Social media? Say for auction of property in case the Assessee is not traceable?	395
Q.378 Whether Indian Evidence Act, 1872/Bharatiya Sakshya Adhinyam, 2023 is strictly applicable in proceedings under the Income-tax Act, 1961?	396
Q.379 What are the conditions to be fulfilled for the admissibility of electronic records as evidence in proceedings under the Act?	398
Q.380 What conditions have to be fulfilled for the admissibility of electronic records?	402

	PAGE
Q.381 Whether WhatsApp messages found during the course of a search/survey action can be considered as evidence?	403
Q.382 Whether draft records on the Hard disc be considered as a piece of evidence?	405
Q.383 Whether social media posts can be considered as evidences?	405

CHAPTER 12

BHARATIYA NYAYA SANHITA, 2023 - LAW OF EVIDENCE

Q.384 What is an abetment of a crime?	411
Q.385 Is encouraging someone to commit a crime for oneself, an abetment of a crime?	411
Q.386 Is it still abetment of a crime if it was not one's intention?	412
Q.387 Is the intentional omission of an act abetment of a crime?	412
Q.388 Is it abetment of a crime even if the abetted crime hasn't been committed?	413
Q.389 What is Criminal Conspiracy?	413
Q.390 Can a person be held liable for criminal conspiracy if he was part of the conspiracy but unaware of the illegal motive?	413
Q.391 Can a co-conspirator be liable for the actions of another co-conspirator?	414
Q.392 What is the punishment for criminal conspiracy?	414
Q.393 Is it illegal to furnish false information to a public servant even if the crime is not committed by oneself?	415
Q.394 What is the punishment for providing false information?	415
Q.395 What if someone refuses to answer any public servant who is authorised to question? Does he have to face any consequences?	416

	PAGE
Q.396 Can an individual refuse to sign his statement? Does the individual face any consequences?	416
Q.397 What is the punishment prescribed for making a false statement under oath to a public servant?	416
Q.398 What are the essential elements required to hold someone liable for providing false evidence?	417
Q.399 When can a person be held liable for fabricating false evidence?	417
Q.400 What is the punishment for issuing or signing a false certificate?	418
Q.401 What is the punishment for using a false document as a true certificate?	418
Q.402 How can articles be removed after the prohibitory order in case of search and seizure?	418
Q.403 What is the Presumption as to culpable mental state?	419
Q.404 What is the presumption about items found during a search action?	419
Q.405 What are the consequences of a lawyer giving an incorrect certificate?	420

CHAPTER 13

**BHARATIYA SAKSHYA ADHINIYAM, 2023 -
INCOME-TAX ACT, 1961**

Q.406 What is a Court of law and what does a court include?	424
Q.407 Does Arbitration/Arbitrators and Tribunals fall under the domain of courts?	424
Q.408 What is a document?	425
Q.409 What types of evidence are allowed in a court?	425
Q.410 What is hearsay evidence? Is it admissible in a court of law?	425
Q.411 When can evidence be admissible in court?	426

	PAGE
Q.412 What is an admission of evidence?	426
Q.413 Who possesses the power to allow the admission of evidence? How can individuals/lawyers ensure whether the evidence will be accepted or not?	426
Q.414 Is it necessary to provide oral admission regarding the contents of electronic evidence?	428
Q.415 Is admission necessary in the case of civil cases? Is it possible to not present the evidence to the court?	428
Q.416 Can an accused, in a criminal case, give his confession to the court? Will it be admitted in the court?	429
Q.417 Is the admission of any evidence, conclusive proof of the matter?	429
Q.418 Are books of account a conclusive proof of evidence?	429
Q.419 Is a public servant's entry or record in any public or official book relevant fact?	430
Q.420 When can the court ask for the assistance of an expert?	430
Q.421 Are the opinions/findings of an expert conclusive proof of evidence of fact? Is it binding on the judge?	430
Q.422 Is the opinion of an examiner of electronic evidence a relevant fact?	431
Q.423 Is the opinion of a certifying authority certifying a digital signature, a relevant fact?	431
Q.424 Does an individual/lawyer have to prove a fact again if it is already admitted in court?	431
Q.425 How can oral evidence be admitted in the court?	432
Q.426 How many categories of evidence exist, and how can an individual determine the specific category of evidence applicable to their situation?	432

	PAGE
Q.427 What is a Primary Evidence?	432
Q.428 What is Secondary Evidence?	434
Q.429 Can a secondary document/evidence (copy of the original) be presented in court in the absence of the original document/evidence?	434
Q.430 What are public documents and Private documents?	436
Q.431 Can an individual get a copy of any public document?	437
Q.432 Can a public document that is obtained illegally, but stating true facts be admissible in court?	437
Q.433 What methodology is to be applied to ascertain the party upon whom the onus of burden of proof lies?	437
Q.434 Is the burden of proof consistently placed on the accusing party in all circumstances?	438
Q.435 Can courts presume any fact without any proof/evidence?	438
Q.436 What is the doctrine of estoppel?	439
Q.437 Does the law provide protection for communications exchanged between a lawyer and their client?	439
Q.438 Can an individual force someone to produce documents in their possession in court?	440
Q.439 Can a witness excuse itself from answering on the ground that it may be criminate?	441
Q.440 Can a witness refer to his documents to refresh his memory?	441
Q.441 How does an examination of a witness take place?	441
Q.442 What are the criteria for the admissibility of electronic evidence?	442
Q.443 What is computer output as per the Indian Evidence Act?	444

	PAGE
Q.444 Is it necessary to certify the electronic device under section 65B of the Indian Evidence Act, or is it just procedural in nature and may be overlooked in certain cases?	444
Q.445 During the cross-examination of a witness, is the examiner entitled to ask any question which may be unrelated to the facts of the case?	444
Q.446 Can a witness choose to avoid answering a question posed to them if they are not related to the subject matter of the case?	445
Q.447 What is the difference between 'may presume', 'shall presume' and 'conclusive proof' while interpreting the BSA?	445
Q.448 Is the contract document enough to prove the existence of a fact or non-existence of a fact, or further evidence is required to prove the intent of the parties?	446

CHAPTER 14

INDIAN LIMITATION ACT, 1963 - LAW OF EVIDENCE

Q.449 What is the effect of acknowledgement in writing?	447
Q.450 Is the recognition of debt on the balance sheet sufficient under the Income-tax Act, 1961?	448
Q.451 How to support a delay in filing of appeal with evidence?	448
Q.452 What will happen in case of conflict under the Limitation Act and special statute?	449
Q.453 What are the consequences of filing a Miscellaneous Application after six months?	449
Q.454 Can an appeal be decided on merits without deciding the question of limitation?	449
Q.455 Can a debt be construed to be acknowledged in financial statements?	450

	PAGE
Q.456 Can a debt be acknowledged by email?	450
Q.457 Can the debt of a partnership firm be acknowledged by a partner?	450
Q.458 Can a suit be filed challenging a family settlement?	451

CHAPTER 15

**CROSS EXAMINATION - LAW OF EVIDENCE -
DO'S AND DON'TS DURING CROSS - EXAMINATION**

Q.459 What is an examination in chief?	453
Q.460 What is cross-examination?	453
Q.461 What is the evidentiary value of confession recorded during a search?	454
Q.462 What are the Consequences of not providing an opportunity for cross-examination in spite of a request from the assessee?	454
Q.463 Can an opportunity for cross-examination be denied?	455
Q.464 Whose duty it is to produce the witness for cross-examination?	455
Q.465 What are the repercussions of not producing the witness for cross-examination?	455
Q.466 Can additions be made on the basis of a statement without any supportive material?	456
Q.467 Where an opportunity for cross-examination was denied by the Assessing Officer, can the same be allowed by the Commissioner (Appeals)?	456
Q.468 Can a request for cross-examination be raised before the first appellate authority?	457
Q.469 Can the CIT(A) or the ITAT direct the Assessing Officer to grant an opportunity for cross-examination?	457
Q.470 Can cross-examination be given in virtual mode?	458

Contents

	PAGE
Q.471 Can the witness be prosecuted if they refuse to remain present for the cross-examination?	458
Q.472 What are the do's and don'ts of Cross Examination?	459
List of Cases	465
Subject Index	493